

February 2023

London Luton Airport Expansion

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR020001

Volume 5 Environmental Statement and Related Documents 5.02 Appendix 6.4 Land at Luton Airport Soil Resource Survey May 2017

Application Document Ref: TR020001/APP/5.02 APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a)



The Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

London Luton Airport Expansion Development Consent Order 202x

5.02 ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT APPENDIX 6.4 LAND AT LUTON AIRPORT SOIL RESOURCE SURVEY MAY 2017

Regulation number:	Regulation 5(2)(a)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference:	TR020001
Document Reference:	TR020001/APP/5.02
Author:	Luton Rising

Version	Date	Status of Version
Issue 01	February 2023	Application issue

LAND AT LUTON AIRPORT

SOIL RESOURCE SURVEY

Prepared on behalf of:

CAPITA PROPERTY AND INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED

TOHA Document Ref:	TOHA/17/3059/TW			
Document Revision:	00			
Document Status	Draft			
Issue Date:	8 th May 2017			
Prepared by:	Tim O'Hare Associates LLP			
	Howbery Park, Wallingford Oxfordshire, OX10 8BA			
4				



TIM O'HARE ASSOCIATES SOIL & LANDSCAPE CONSULTANCY

CONTENTS

Section

Page

1	INTRODUCTION1	
2	DESK STUDY REVIEW3	
3	SITE INVESTIGATION5	,
4	LABORATORY ANALYSIS13	;
5	DISCUSSION17	,

Appendix 1 – Site Plan Showing Trial Hole Locations Appendix 2 – Site Plan Showing Distribution of Soil Profile Types Appendix 3 – Laboratory Analysis Results – Topsoils Appendix 4 – Laboratory Analysis Results – Subsoils

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tim O'Hare Associates LLP was commissioned by Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited to undertake a soil resource survey at the 'Land at Luton Airport' site.

The authority to carry out the work is contained in an email message from Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited dated 7th April 2016, with an official purchase order dated 24th May 2016. Additional survey work was authorised by Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited on 28th March 2017.

1.1 Purpose

It is understood that Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited ('Capita') has been appointed to provide high level consultancy services in the development of a Strategic Masterplan for a new country park, as well as employment allocated land and associated infrastructure. Capita has also been appointed to provide consultancy services for the country park element through to implementation.

It is intended for the country park to be linked to the existing Wigmore Park via an access corridor. Wigmore Park itself is to be updated with a number of landscape improvements.

The majority of the existing site is in use as agricultural land (arable), with the remainder comprising a public park and limited areas of woodland. As such, the site contains large reserves of topsoil and subsoil, however, there is currently no information available on the horticultural quality, variability and suitability of the soils for landscape purposes for this development.

A scheme of this size will require soils to support the required landscape planting / seeding and the creation of species-rich habitats. Soil provides the foundation to all new landscape and habitat schemes. Its quality, composition and function are intrinsically linked to the health, vigour and establishment of the plants that rely on it for water, mineral and organic reserves, and structural support and therefore not all soils are suitable for landscape and habitat creation purposes.

The purpose of this work was to assess the existing site soils to provide information on their pertinent chemical and physical properties for horticultural re-use. This Soil Resource Survey is intended to guide on-going design and cost analysis by providing information on the existing site soil resources and their potential for re-use for the new development. Subsequently it will inform future soil management activities and support the required Landscape Specifications for this site /soils.

1.2 Actions

Tim O'Hare Associates LLP (TOHA) has evaluated the quality and suitability of the soils by assessing a number of key chemical and physical soil properties, through desk study review, onsite investigation and laboratory analysis.

This report issues the findings of the desk study review and soil investigation, including site observations and soil descriptions, results and interpretation of all analyses, discussion on soil quality and implications for landscape construction and habitat creation on this site.

The brief for this appointment expressly states that areas of restored landfill as indicated on the supplied site plan (Capita drawing : *WVP Park Extents*) are not to be included in the site survey work.

2.0 DESK STUDY REVIEW

2.1 Documents Reviewed

Prior to commencing the site investigation work, the following documents were reviewed as part of a Desk Study Review:

- Capita Property and Infrastructure: Site plan : WVP Park Extents ;
- Capita Property and Infrastructure: Capita Design Statement Land at Luton Airport;
- Soil Map of England and Wales (Scale: 1:250,000 Sheet 6);
- British Geological Survey website (Geology of Britain 1:50,000 Scale);

The findings of this review are presented below.

2.2 Topography

The western part of the site (existing Wigmore Park and future access corridor) has an elongated shape and is oriented roughly east / west. This part of the site approximately follows the site contours (reasonably level) and is located partway down a wider, off-site slope formation.

The eastern portion of the site is of an irregular shape and features two low valleys extending into the site from the east, forming a localised ridge, with gentle slopes.

2.3 Soils

The Soil Map of England and Wales (1:250,000 scale) Sheet 6 indicates the site soils to comprise *Brown Soils*, with some variations represented. The majority of the site falls under the following:

Major Group	Brown Soils
Group	Paleo-argillic brown earths
Subgroup	Stagnogleyic paleo-argillic brown earths

Brown soils have dominantly brownish or reddish subsurface horizons with no prominent mottling or greyish colours above 400mm depth. Paleo-argillic brown earths are loamy or clayey soils with a reddish or reddish mottled, clay-enriched subsoil. Further definition places these soils within the following Soil Association:

BATCOMBE Soil Association, which is described as '*Fine silty over clayey and fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some well-drained clayey soils over chalk. Variably flinty*'.

HORNBEAM 2 Soil Association – 'Deep, fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some well-drained fine loamy and fine silty over clayey and clayey soils. Some soils very flinty'.

Limited parts of the site may also comprise *Brown calcareous earths* – 'Non-alluvial loamy or clayey soils with a weathered calcareous subsoil', which fall into the following Soil Association.

SWAFFHAM PRIOR Soil Association – 'Well-drained, calcareous coarse and fine loamy soils over chalk rubble. Some similar shallow soils. Deep non-calcareous loamy soils in places. Striped and polygonal soil patterns locally'.

2.4 Geology

The *British Geological Survey* website (*Geology of Britain – 1:50,000 Solid and Drift*) describes the geology (Bedrock and Superficial Deposits) as follows with some differences indicated between the *slopes* and *valley bases* on this site:

<u>Slopes</u>

The bedrock for the sloping parts of the site comprise '*Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation and Seaford Formation (undifferentiated) – chalk*'. This consists of Sedimentary Bedrock formed 84 to 94 million years ago in the Cretaceous Period. These rocks were formed in warm chalk seas with little sediment input from land.

Superficial deposits for the sloping portions of the site consist of '*Clay-with-flints Formation - Clay, Silt, Sand and Gravel*'. Superficial Deposits formed up to 5 million years ago in the Quaternary and Neogene Periods. Local environment previously dominated by weathering processes.

Valley bases

At the base of valleys, the bedrock consisted of '*Holywell Nodular Chalk Formation and New Pit Chalk Formation (undifferentiated) – chalk*'. This is a Sedimentary Bedrock formed approximately 89 to 100 million years ago in the Cretaceous Period. Local environment previously dominated by warm chalk seas.

Superficial deposits at the base of the valleys are shown as '*Head - Clay, Silt, Sand and Gravel*', formed up to 3 million years ago in the Quaternary Period. Local environment previously dominated by subaerial slopes.

2.5 Landscape Proposals

The landscape design is at an early stage, but is to focus on habitat creation landscape types, including species-rich wildflower grasslands, with native woodland and hedge planting. There may also be limited areas of tree and shrub planting.

As part of the development strategy, it is anticipated that the majority of the site soils will remain in-situ, with earthworks limited to limited remodelling only.

3.0 SITE INVESTIGATION

3.1 Site Visit

The site work to survey Wigmore Park and the northern part of agricultural land was conducted on the 29th April 2016 during a period of changeable, showery weather. Further site work to categorise the bulk of the agricultural land was carried out on 19th April 2017.

3.2 The Site

The site was located to the north east of Luton Airport, on the outskirts of Luton, Bedfordshire and was accessed Eaton Green Road / Darley Road.

The survey area was made up of several distinct zones / land-uses. The western portion of the site (Wigmore Park) was relatively narrow in shape and was mainly in use as amenity grassland, with some established tree lines / wooded areas and allotment gardens. An earth bank planted with mature trees / scrub was located on the eastern boundary of Wigmore.

The extreme western end of the site was part of a restored landfill and was not included in this scope of works. Also, please note it was not possible to access the allotment gardens at the time of the site visit.

The central part of the survey site (access corridor) was also linear and was mainly in use as agricultural land, with small pockets of rough grassland.

The main part of the new country park was located immediately east of Wigmore Park and was comprised entirely of existing agricultural land (arable). The south western and eastern parts of this section were bounded by existing native hedgerows. The northern and south eastern boundaries were unbounded.



Plate 1 : Wigmore Park (amenity grass)



Plate 2 : Wigmore Park (amenity grass)



Plate 3 : Earth bank on eastern boundary to Wigmore Park



Plate 4 : Access corridor pocket of rough grass and scrub



Plate 5 : New country park – central section, looking east (agricultural land)



Plate 6 : New country park – southern section, looking south (agricultural land)



Plate 7 : New country park - soil surface (agricultural land)



Plate 8 : New country park western boundary – established native hedge.

3.3 Soil Conditions

We examined the soils by constructing a total of 34 no. hand-dug trial holes (TH) at representative locations within the survey areas. Trial holes were dug to a maximum depth of 1000mm where possible. The locations of our trial holes are indicated on the site plan in Appendix 1.

At each trial hole, the soils were examined with reference to the Soil Survey Field Handbook. Important physical soil characteristics were recorded, including texture, structure, compaction, waterlogging, anaerobism, topsoil depths, stone content and the presence of deleterious materials. At the same time, representative soil samples were taken for laboratory analysis.

3.4 Soil Descriptions

A total of 4 No. distinct soil profiles / types were encountered during the site visit, including:

Soil Profile 1 - Agricultural Soil Soil Profile 2 - Agricultural Soil (calcareous) Soil Profile 3 - Parkland Soil Soil Profile 4 - Woodland Soil

The distribution of these soil profiles is indicated on the site plan in Appendix 2 and each of them are described below:

Soil Profile 1 - Agricultural Soil

Soil Profile 1 was observed within areas of agricultural land at the new country park and access corridor at TH6, TH10, TH13 to TH16, TH18 to TH22, TH29 to TH31 and TH34.

Topsoil 1 GL – 210/290mm Average depth : 260mm	Dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 3/3) dry, compacted, no calcareous to slightly calcareous HEAVY CLAY LOAM to CLAY. Up disturbance, the soil broke into a moderately developed, granular a occasional sub-angular blocky structures. Moderate to very stor comprising common medium to large subrounded to angular flints up 90mm in size and no observable deleterious materials.			
Subsoil 210/290 – 1000mm	Strong brown (Munsell Colour 7.5YR 5/6) slightly moist to moist, plastic, non-calcareous CLAY to SILTY CLAY, with a moderately developed, subangular blocky structure. Slight to moderately stony. No observable deleterious materials.			
	Ochreous mottling and manganese concretions recorded throughout the subsoil, becoming stronger with depth.			



Plate 9 : Soil Profile 1 at TH13 (agricultural soil)







Plate 11: Topsoil arisings from TH13 (agricultural soil



Plate 12: Soil Profile 1 – Sub-angular flints



Plate 13: Soil Profile 1 - Sub-angular flint

Soil Profile 2 - Agricultural Soil (Calcareous)

Soil Profile 2 was observed at locations within the northern / central part of the new country park site at TH3 to TH5, TH7 to TH9, TH11 and TH12.

Topsoil 2 GL – 190/340mm Average depth : 250mm	Greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 5/2), dry to slightly moist, slightly compacted, very calcareous CLAY. Upon disturbance, the soil broke into a moderately developed fine to coarse granular and subrounded blocky structure. Moderate to moderately high stone content (mainly subangular to angular flints) and no observable deleterious materials.
<i>Calcareous Subsoil</i> 190/340 – 210/360mm	Strong brown (Munsell Colour 7.5YR 5/6) dry, friable, very calcareous CLAY, with a moderate to well developed, medium to coarse granular and subrounded blocky structure. Slight to moderately stony (chalk fragments).
Chalk 210/360 - 600mm	Very pale brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 8/3) dry fractured CHALK.



Plate 17 : Soil Profile 2 at TH11 - agricultural soil (calcareous)



Plate 18 : Topsoil 2 arisings - agricultural soil (calcareous)



Plate 19 : Soil Profile 2 - Chalk

Soil Profile 3 - Parkland Soils

Soil Profile 3 was observed at locations within Wigmore Park at TH23 to TH28 and TH33.

Topsoil 3 GL – 240/270mm Average depth :	Dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 4/2), moist, plastic, non calcareous MEDIUM CLAY LOAM with a moderate to well developed, granular to subrounded blocky structure.		
260mm	The upper 90/120mm topsoil was slightly stony and the remaining topsoil was moderately stony including subangular flints up to 65mm in size. A compaction pan was typically identified at a depth of 90-150mm below surface level.		
	At TH37 the topsoil contained frequent brick fragments up to 85m in size. A 'no-dig' marker layer (open mesh type) was encountered at TH23 at 70mm below ground level.		
Subsoil 240/270 – 1000mm	Subsoil described as Soil Profile 1 Subsoil		



Plate 20 : Topsoil 3 showing stone layer at 90mm below surface level (TH24)





Plate 22 : Soil Profile 3 showing 'no dig' marker layer at TH23 (70mm below surface level)



Plate 23 : Soil Profile 3 showing brick fragments at TH37 $\,$

Soil Profile 4 - Woodland Soils

Soil Profile 4 was observed at locations on the western boundary at TH1, TH2, TH17 and TH32.

<i>Litter Layer</i> GL – 20/50mm Average depth : 40mm	Distinguishable plant remains (mainly leaves or small twigs) overlying unrecognisable plant matter. Described as very dark greyish brown, slightly moist to moist, loose PEATY SAND with a weakly developed granular structure.
Topsoil 4 20/50 – 280/350mm Average thickness : 320mm	Very dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 3/2), dry, friable, non- calcareous HEAVY CLAY LOAM with a well developed, granular structure. Low stone contents, with subangular flints up to 45mm in size. No observable deleterious materials.
Subsoil 280/350mm – 1000mm	Subsoil described as Soil Profile 1 Subsoil



Plate 15 : Soil Profile 4 - Woodland Soil



Plate 16 : Soil Profile 4 – Topsoil arisings

3.5 Topsoil Depths

The following topsoil depths (mm) were recorded during our survey

TH1 – 300	TH20 – 210
TH2 – 280	TH21 – 250
TH3 – 210	TH22 – 260
TH4 – 230	TH23 – 70 (over 'no-dig' marker layer)
TH5 – 260	TH24 – 240
TH6 – 270	TH25 – 240
TH7 – 340	TH26 – 250
TH8 – 250	TH27 – 290
TH9 – 250	TH28 – 270
TH10 – 250	TH29 – 280
TH11 – 270	TH30 – 270
TH12 – 190	TH31 – 240
TH13 – 290	TH32 – 310
TH14 – 270	TH33 – 270
TH15 – 250	TH34 – 280
TH16 – 260	
TH17 – 350	
TH18 – 250	

TH19 – 270

Overall site average topsoil depth = 250mm

4.0 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

4.1 Analytical Schedule

A total of 17 no. representative samples of topsoil (12 No.) and subsoil (5 No.) were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

The samples were analysed in accordance with the following schedule:

- particle size analysis;
- stone content;
- PH and electrical conductivity values;
- major plant nutrients N, P, K, Mg (topsoil samples only);
- organic matter content.

The results for topsoil and subsoil are presented on the Certificates of Analyses in Appendix 3, and Appendix 4 respectively and our interpretation of the results is given below.

4.2 Results of Analysis – Topsoils

Particle Size Analysis

Topsoil 1 (Agricultural Soils)

The samples of *Topsoil 1* fell into the HEAVY CLAY LOAM to CLAY texture class, and are described as 'heavy' in texture. Such soils usually have good water and nutrient retention capacities, but they are slow-draining and can suffer from seasonal waterlogging following periods of prolonged or heavy rainfall. They are also prone to structural degradation and compaction during handling, and especially when plastic in consistency. Given the high clay content of these topsoils, they will tend to form strong structures when completely dry that may be difficult to break down by mechanical cultivation.

Heavy textured topsoil such as this would be suited to less demanding landscape types only, including for example native hedgerows and woodland, marginal and shallow water planting, species-rich wildflower grassland and amenity grass establishment (low foot traffic areas), <u>provided</u> species tolerant of heavy moisture retentive soils are selected and <u>provided</u> the soils' physical condition is adequate at planting / seeding. These soils would only be considered acceptable for more demanding landscape types such as tree and shrub planting provided they are at their *optimum* physical condition at planting. Additional measures may be needed to offset other adverse properties of these soils for less robust hardy types (see Section 5.3).

Topsoil 2 (Agricultural Soils – Calcareous), Topsoil 3 (Parkland Soils) and Topsoil 4 (Woodland Soils)

The sample of *Topsoil 2* was classified as a <u>calcareous</u> CLAY and the samples of *Topsoil 3* and *Topsoil 4* fell into the MEDIUM CLAY LOAM texture class and would be described as 'medium' in texture. The highly calcareous nature of *Topsoil 2* helps to mitigate its otherwise clay dominated characteristics, which aids soil structural development and improves its handling properties.

Soils such as these usually have adequate water and nutrient retention capacities, with good drainage and aeration properties. They can be degraded by compaction during soil handling, vehicle tracking or trampling. Once their structure is damaged, these soils are likely to suffer from reduced aeration and drainage rates and once damaged could be prone to waterlogging after periods of prolonged or heavy rainfall.

Topsoils such as these, are typically suitable for many landscape types, including tree and shrub planting, native hedgerows and woodland, species-rich wildflower grassland and amenity grass establishment (low foot traffic areas), <u>provided</u> the structural condition of the soil is satisfactory.

Stone Content

The stone contents recorded within samples of *Topsoil 1* and *Topsoil 4* were moderately high to high and included large stones >50mm in size. Stony soils, such as these contain a lower proportion of fine earth (material less than 2mm) from which water and nutrients may be obtained, and they can be more prone to drought in dry weather. Furthermore, the high proportions of stones observed may affect the establishment, use and maintenance of seeded areas (e.g. amenity grass, species-rich wildflower grasslands). It would therefore be necessary to remove/treat the larger stones by raking, picking, burying or screening, should the soil be used for seeded landscape types. A combination of treatments may be needed to deal with the stones in this instance.

The stone contents of *Topsoil 2* and *Topsoil 3* were moderate and, as such, stones are unlikely to constitute a significant limitation for general landscape purposes. However, it may be prudent to reduce a proportion of the larger stones, should the soil be used for seeded landscape types.

pH and Electrical Conductivity

The samples of *Topsoil 1* and *Topsoil 3* were slightly acid to alkaline in reaction (pH 6.3 - 6.7) with a pH range that would be ideal for a wide range of plant species commonly used for general landscape purposes.

The samples of *Topsoil 2* were strongly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.7 - 8.0) with a pH value which would be suitable for species and landscape types which prefer or demand alkaline and chalky soils only. The pH value recorded is in keeping with the highly calcareous nature of this soil type.

Samples of *Topsoil 4* were strongly acid in reaction (pH 4.2), with pH values that would be suitable for acid-loving species (calcifuges) only. These pH values would not be suitable for species known to specifically require or prefer alkaline soil.

The electrical conductivity (salinity) values were all low, indicating that soluble salts were not present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

Organic Matter and Nutrient Status

All of the topsoil types displayed moderate to moderately high levels of organic matter and all major plant nutrients.

For landscape types which require fertile soils (e.g. tree and shrub planting, native woodland planting and amenity grass) there are no apparent deficiencies which would warrant amendment. Certain, demanding landscape types may benefit from a routine fertiliser application at planting or seeding to aid establishment.

With respect to habitat creation purposes, including the establishment of species-rich wildflower grassland, the site topsoils are considered to be *fertile* (typically phosphorus MAFF index 3 to 4) and therefore generally have a low potential for this purpose. Such landscape types require a low fertility soil, particularly in relation to levels of phosphorus to reduce competition form aggressive broad-leaved species and grasses. Phosphorus is relatively immobile in soils and it would therefore be difficult to remove it from the topsoil to reduce the level to a more acceptable level.

4.3 Results of Analysis – Subsoils

Particle Size Analysis

The samples of subsoil from all parts of the site into the CLAY and SILTY CLAY LOAM texture classes, and are described as heavy in texture.

These soils are typically slow-draining and often suffer from seasonal waterlogging following periods of prolonged or heavy rainfall. They are also prone to structural degradation and compaction during handling, and especially when plastic in consistency. As such, they are restricted in terms of their potential for re-use for landscape purposes.

The subsoil at *Soil Profile 1*, *Soil Profile 3* and *Soil Profile 4* would be suitable for less-demanding planting, including native hedgerows and woodland, amenity grass establishment (low foot traffic areas) and species-rich wildflower grassland and <u>provided</u> the structural condition of the soil is satisfactory and <u>provided</u> species tolerant of water retentive soils are selected. These subsoils are

unsuitable for plant species or landscape environments that require or prefer light or free-draining soils. Furthermore, there is likely to be a need for improvements to promote a healthy rooting environment, particularly for tree planting and other key locations such as the base of slopes.

At *Soil Profile 2*, the calcareous nature of the subsoil will help to improve its structural development and assist drainage. As such, this subsoil type has potential for re-use for more demanding planting types provided its physical condition is maintained.

Stone Content

The stone contents of the subsoil samples were low to moderate and, as such, stones are unlikely to constitute a significant limitation for general landscape purposes. However, where the subsoil is used for seeding purposes, it may be prudent to reduce a proportion of the larger stones by raking, picking or burying.

pH and Electrical Conductivity

The subsoil samples were alkaline to strongly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.4 - 8.2) with a pH range that is suitable for landscape purposes, provided species selected have a broad pH tolerance.

The electrical conductivity (salinity) values were all low, indicating that soluble salts were not present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

Organic Matter Content and Fertility Status

Samples of subsoil displayed elevated organic matter contents.

The organic matter contents of these soils are higher than are normally found in subsoils and increase the risk of the formation anaerobic conditions (oxygen depleted) should they become degraded (compacted).

5.0 DISCUSSION

The bulk of the survey site is to be used to construct a new country park, with an associated access corridor and improvements to the existing Wigmore Park.

As part of the development strategy, it is anticipated that the majority of the site soils will remain in-situ, with earthworks limited to limited remodelling only. The purpose of this work was to assess the existing site soils to provide information on their pertinent chemical and physical properties for horticultural re-use.

The landscape design is at an early stage and is likely to include the following landscape types:

- Tree planting
- Shrub beds
- Native woodland and hedge planting
- Amenity grass
- Species-rich wildflower grassland

There is currently little or no information on the horticultural quality, variability and suitability of the site soils for habitat creation and landscape purposes, so the purpose of the investigation was to assess the existing soil conditions, and advise on their potential for re-use. The information collected will be used to support the preparation of the landscape design and a Landscape Specification for this site / soils.

5.1 Summary of Findings

From our investigation, the site contained significant reserves of undisturbed topsoil and subsoil. A total of 4 No. soil profiles were identified, comprising:

Soil Profile 1 - Agricultural Soil

Soil Profile 2 - Agricultural Soil (calcareous)

Soil Profile 3 - Parkland Soil

Soil Profile 4 - Woodland Soil

The depths of topsoil over the site were reasonably consistent (190 to 350mm), with an overall site average of 250mm.

Soil Profile 1, Soil Profile 3 and Soil Profile 4

From our site survey and subsequent laboratory analysis, Soil Profile 1, Soil Profile 3 and Soil Profile 4 comprised a respective Topsoil type over reasonably consistent Subsoil. The main characteristics of these soils are summarised below:

Topsoil 1

heavy clay loam to clay

compacted (breaks to granular and blocky structure)

moderate to high stone contents with common large sized stones (>50mm)

slightly acid to slightly alkaline (non-calcareous)

moderately high levels of organic matter and all major nutrients

fertile with respect to habitat creation purposes

Topsoil 3 and Topsoil 4

medium clay loam

granular to subrounded blocky structures (*Topsoil 3* compacted at 90-150mm bgl)

low to moderate stone contents

slightly acid (non-calcareous) - Topsoil 3

strongly acid (non-calcareous) - Topsoil 4

moderately high levels of organic matter and all major plant nutrients

fertile with respect to habitat creation purposes

Subsoil

clay to silty clay loam

blocky structures

low to moderate stone contents, including occasional large stones

alkaline (non-calcareous)

elevated levels of organic matter

infertile to intermediate with respect to habitat creation purposes

Soil Profile 2

From our site survey and subsequent laboratory analysis, *Soil Profile 2* comprised Topsoil 2 over Calcareous Subsoil and Chalk

Topsoil 2	Calcareous Subsoil
calcareous clay slightly compacted (breaks to granular and blocky structure) moderate to moderately high stone contents strongly alkaline (high carbonate content) moderately high levels of organic matter and all major plant nutrients	calcareous clay blocky structure low to moderate stone contents strongly alkaline (high carbonate content) moderately high levels of organic matter
fertile with respect to habitat creation purposes	

5.2 Re-use of the Site Soils

The physical composition of the soils encountered varied in terms of soil texture, carbonate content, pH value and stone contents. The condition of the topsoils also varied with *Topsoil 1*, *Topsoil 2* and *Topsoil 3* displaying structural degradation (compaction). The fertility status of the topsoil was moderate to moderately high with respect to habitat creation purposes.

The following sections consider the potential to re-use each of the soils identified for the proposed landscape purposes. The moisture retentive nature of *Soil Profile 1*, *Soil Profile 3* and *Soil Profile 4* limits the potential to re-use these soil types to non-demanding, hardy species and planting types.

The development strategy to retain the majority of the topsoils will assist the management of these soils and help to preserve their physical condition to maximise their potential for re-use. For all future uses, it is important that following all landscape construction activities, soil preparation, planting and seeding works that all soils are left in an uncompacted condition with adequate soil structures.

The site soils are all vulnerable to physical degradation (compaction) by intense uses, resulting in a loss of soil aeration and reduced infiltration and drainage and therefore would not be suitable for amenity grass which is expected to support high rates of foot traffic.

Soil Profile 1

Soil Profile 1 is characterised by its heavy soil textures (non-calcareous) with restricted permeability (drainage) characteristics and high stone contents (subrounded to angular flints) including *large stones* up to 90mm in size.

In their current condition these soils would be described as 'Imperfectly Drained' and prone to waterlogging for periods of the year.

This type of topsoil has inherent physical properties (e.g. low sand content, clayey nature, moisture retentive, with reduced aeration and drainage performances) which can make it particularly problematic for demanding landscape construction purposes. *Topsoil 1* is currently compacted and if left in this condition is likely to display restricted aeration and drainage properties and, as such, should be decompacted as part of the required preparation work for seeding and planting.

Should it be required to subject this soil to intensive earthworks (for example topsoil stripping) this soil type will be particularly susceptible to structural degradation, particularly if it is handled and treated whilst moist and plastic. Additional specific problems envisaged with the use of this type of topsoil could include limited responses to tillage following degradation and a need for multiple cultivations to prepare a suitable tilth. This soil type can be subject to extensive surface cracking.

The moderately high to high stone contents recorded within *Soil Profile 1* can be problematic for landscape purposes. For seeding purposes, specific action(s) would be warranted to reduce the overall stone content to a suitable level and to remove medium to large stones from the surface (and near surface) soil horizon as part of seed bed preparation.

Soil Profile 1 would be best suited to non-demanding landscape environments only, including native woodland and hedgerow planting, amenity grass establishment (low foot traffic areas) <u>provided</u> the soils are suitably prepared for planting/seeding (including decompaction and stone treatment) and the plants selected are tolerant of heavy, moisture retentive soils. Species selected should have a broad pH tolerance.

It may be possible to increase the re-use potential of these soils (e.g. shrub planting) by improving its composition and/or the overall functioning of the soil profile (see section 5.3).

A light fertiliser application may be necessary at planting and seeding to aid establishment.

Soil Profile 2

Soil Profile 2 is characterised by its calcareous nature, relatively shallow soil depth over fractured chalk at 210/360mm below ground level. *Topsoil 2* is currently compacted which is likely reduce its drainage and aeration properties. Once its physical condition has been restored, this soil should be free draining and, as such, is unlikely to become waterlogged for prolonged periods after rainfall.

Based on our findings, provided the physical condition of *Soil Profile 2* is improved it is anticipated to provide adequate drainage for demanding planting types such as tree and shrub planting. However the soils at this location are strongly alkaline and highly calcareous and this must be factored into planting selections made for this soil profile. Furthermore, the moderately shallow total soil depth observed at *Soil Profile 2* (<500mm topsoil/subsoil over fractured chalk) may be a limiting factor for certain species demanding on their root morphology. *Soil Profile 2* is not appropriate for landscape types which prefer or demand moisture retentive and/or non-calcareous soils.

Soil Profile 3 and Soil Profile 4

Soil Profile 3 and Soil Profile 4 are characterised by its medium soil textures.

In their current condition *Soil Profile 3* and *Soil Profile 4* would be described as 'Moderately Well Drained' to 'Imperfectly Drained' and, as such, the topsoils may be subject to seasonal waterlogging during the wettest periods of the year.

Provided these soils remain undisturbed they should provide the required cultural conditions for a wide range of landscape types, including tree and shrub planting, native woodland and hedges and amenity grass establishment (low foot traffic areas) <u>provided</u> species selected are tolerant of moisture retentive soils. Species selected should have a broad pH tolerance and *Soil Profile 4* may be suitable for species which prefer or demand strongly acid soils.

Subsoil Organic Matter Contents

The organic matter contents of the subsoil samples (3.0 - 5.7%) were higher than that typically observed in subsoil material (<2%). In this instance, this is likely to be associated with a diffuse boundary between the topsoil and subsoil. Following excavation and reinstatement, the presence of organic matter within subsoil at higher levels may lead to the formation of anaerobic conditions. In this instance, no evidence of anaerobism was observed in these subsoils during our examinations. At present the structure is adequate to allow sufficient drainage of water and gaseous exchange to allow the oxygen demand on the soil to be met. Particular care and good soil management practices should be taken to maintain the physical condition of these subsoils.

Tree Pits for Semi-Mature Trees

Topsoil 2, Topsoil 3 and *Topsoil 4* may be suitable for tree planting provided its physical condition is maintained. For tree planting within *Soil Profile 1* the upper portion of the tree pits should be back-filled with a suitable imported, fertile, free draining sandy topsoil.

Where tree pits for semi-mature trees are to be constructed in areas of *Soil Profile 1, Soil Profile 3* or *Soil Profile 4,* it would be important that appropriate modifications are incorporated into their design so that they do not act as sumps for surface draining water. The level of modification required would depend on the extent of any disturbance and degradation caused to the soil structures and the level of soakage following landscape construction. For any locations subject to significant disturbance, consideration should be given to the provision of an appropriate gravel soakaway layer at the base of the tree pits.

The subsoil will be prone to self-compaction if placed below the weight of a tree root ball and so these subsoils are not considered suitable for use as backfill in tree pits for semi-mature trees. It is recommended that an appropriate free-draining sand or sandy subsoil is used as subsoil in all tree pits. Topsoil 'mounding' should also be considered in order to improve soil aeration and exposure of the rootball to waterlogging.

The drainage properties of *Soil Profile 2* would suit species which prefer or demand free draining soils, however this soil profile may not be ideally suited to planting semi-mature trees due to its moderately shallow soil depth.

Species-rich Wildflower Grasslands

The site topsoils all have a low potential for species-rich wildflower grassland establishment due to their elevated fertility status and existing weed seed bank, and, as such, would be expected to be particularly prone to colonisation by aggressive species and grasses.

Based on the understanding that the soil profiles will all be kept in place, seed mixes should be selected that are compatible with the characteristics of the site soils. As such, *Topsoil 1* and *Topsoil 3* would be classified as 'slightly acid, moisture retentive and fertile', whilst *Topsoil 2* may be described as 'strongly alkaline, (calcareous), well drained and fertile'. *Topsoil 4* is a woodland soil (strongly acid, moisture retentive and fertile).

The sward produced is unlikely to achieve high levels of floral diversity, and is likely to become increasingly colonised by grasses. Appropriate management of the sward will be necessary to maximise the numbers of species produced and prevent the domination by aggressive species such as nettle and dock.

Summary of Soil Suitability

The suitability of the site soils for re-use for landscape purposes and habitat creation are summarised in the table below:

Landscape Type	Topsoil 1	Topsoil 2	Topsoil 3	Topsoil 4	Subsoil (Subsoil)
Semi-mature tree planting	Х	О^	0	O*	O ^s
Native woodland	~	$\checkmark \land$	~	√*	~
Native hedges	~	$\checkmark \land$	~	√*	√
Shrub beds	0	$\checkmark \land$	~	√*	√
Amenity grass (low foot traffic)	~	$\checkmark \land$	~	√*	√
Amenity grass (Intense foot traffic)	Х	Х	Х	Х	√ ^d
Species-rich wildflower grassland	O ^w	O ^w	O ^w	O ^w	NA

- ✓ Suitable for this purpose provided the physical condition of the soil is adequate at planting / seeding and species selected are tolerant of moisture retentive soils (with the exception of calcareous subsoils) and have a broad pH tolerance.
- Suitable for this purpose provided the physical condition of the soil is adequate at planting / seeding and species selected are suited to strongly alkaline, chalky soils with a shallow total soil depth.
- Suitable for this purpose provided the physical condition of the soil is adequate at planting / seeding and species selected are suited to strongly acid soils.
- \checkmark^{d} May be acceptable for this purpose provided assistance is given to the drainage properties (e.g. by installation of an artificial drainage system).
- O Possibly suitable for this purpose provided the physical condition of the soil is at its <u>optimum</u>. Species selected must be tolerant of moisture retentive soils. Improvement to aeration and drainage functions of the soil profile may also be necessary.
- O[^] Not ideally suited to this purpose due to moderately shallow total soil depth (over chalk). Species selected must be tolerant of strongly alkaline, chalky soils.
- O* Possibly suitable for this purpose provided the physical condition of the soil is at its <u>optimum</u>. Species selected must be tolerant of strongly acid soils.
- O^s Potentially suitable for this purpose, provided the physical condition of the subsoil is maintained and used in conjunction with a shallow layer of sand to support the rootball. Species selected must tolerant of moisture retentive soils, with the exception of calcareous subsoils.
- O^w Potentially suitable for this purpose, provided reduced levels of floral diversity are acceptable and seed mixes selected are suited to soil characteristics.
- X Not suitable for this purpose due to inappropriate drainage characteristics or fertility status.
- NA Not applicable to this purpose.

5.3 Improvement options for poorly draining soils

The restricted drainage properties of the site subsoil will limit the re-use potential of *Soil Profile 1*, *Soil Profile 3* and *Soil Profile 4* to the more hardy planting types only. Any damage caused to the soils during landscape works and any intense handling is likely to reduce its quality. For more demanding purposes, such as tree and shrub planting, it would be necessary to improve the physical aspects of these soils in order to broaden their re-use potential, including:

<u>Installation of artificial drainage.</u> Drainage may be installed at key locations or specifically for particularly vulnerable planting types. The type, design and depth of the drainage should be suitable to the proposed application. Drainage can be expensive and is reliant on the availability of a suitable outfall / discharge point.

Localised mounding of topsoil. The topsoil may be mounded locally for vulnerable species. This effectively 'lifts' the plants, and reduces the risk of harm from waterlogging and improves aeration within the rooting zone. This approach can be extremely cost effective and is not reliant on an outfall. Mounding can be done on an individual tree basis or larger mounds provided for clusters of trees.

<u>Species-selection</u>. There may be scope to revise the species selection and stock sizes for this landscape scheme to those which are tolerant of heavy moisture retentive soils.

It is likely that a combination of the measures above would be needed to ensure suitable soil conditions are provided for successful landscape construction on this site.

It is likely that tree pits and shrub beds within this area will require positive drainage, or at least a soakaway, to remove accumulating water from low points and prevent the tree pits from acting as sumps.

5.4 Soil Structure & Physical Degradation

It is essential to provide a structured, uncompacted topsoil for the successful establishment and subsequent growth of plants and grass. Adequate soil structure is a key element for healthy plant growth to ensure aeration and drainage within the rooting zone.

Topsoil 1 and *Topsoil 2* typically displayed damage to its structure and a sub-surface compaction pan was encountered at *Topsoil 3* (90/120mm below ground level). The compaction damage identified is likely to reduce the drainage rate and aeration of these topsoils. In this situation, the larger (air containing) soil pores are destroyed and replaced by smaller (water retentive) pores. This will restrict gaseous exchange with the atmosphere and cause the topsoil to become anaerobic (oxygen depleted). In addition, the lack of larger pores prevents effective drainage and results in an increased risk of waterlogging. Waterlogged and anaerobic conditions, if they persist, can be severely detrimental to plants and therefore, the physical condition of these soils should be improved as part of the soil preparation works at these locations.

Landscape construction and soil preparation works themselves can be damaging to the structures of heavy, clay based soil such as these, therefore all soil handling operations should ideally be programmed for periods when the soils are friable and non-plastic in consistency.

We would like to thank Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited for entrusting our practice with this commission. We trust this report meets with your approval and provides the necessary information. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can be of further assistance.

Tim White BSc MSc MISoilSci CSci Senior Associate

For and on behalf of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP

Report Qualifications

Our interpretation of the soil conditions is based on observations made during our site investigation and the results of laboratory tests. This report presents our site observations and test results and our interpretation of those observations and results. On any site there may be variations in soil conditions between these exploratory positions. We can therefore not accept any responsibility for soil conditions that have not been exposed by this investigation.

This investigation considers the re-use of the soils for landscaping works within the Land at Luton Airport site. It should not therefore be relied on for alternative end-uses or for other schemes. This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of our client Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited. No warranty is provided to any third party and no responsibility or liability will be accepted for any loss or damage in the event that this report is relied upon by a third party or is used in circumstances for which it was not originally intended.

<u>Appendix 1</u>
Site Plan Showing Trial Hole Locations







Appendix 3
Laboratory Analysis Results
Tonsoils

Client:	Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited
Project:	Land at Luton Airport
Job:	Topsoil and Subsoil Analysis
Date:	May 2017
Job Ref No:	TOHA/17/3059/TW

Sample Reference			TH10	TH13	TH15	TH16	
Soil Type			Topsoil 1	Topsoil 1	Topsoil 1	Topsoil 1	
Development Area			Country Park	Country Park	Country Park	Country Park	
Current Land Use			Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	
		Accreditation					
Clay (<0.002mm)	%	UKAS	28	28	28	29	
Silt (0.002-0.063mm)	%	UKAS	41	47	46	33	
Sand (0.063-2.00mm)	%	UKAS	30	25	26	38	
Texture Class (UK Classification)		UKAS	HCL	HCL	HCL	HCL	
Stones (2-20mm)	% DW	GLP	9	7	6	7	
Stones (20-50mm)	% DW	GLP	8	18	12	12	
Stones (>50mm)	% DW	GLP	13	21	0	10	
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS	6.6	6.6	6.3	6.6	
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS	178	131	162	193	
Electrical Conductivity (1:2 CaSO ₄ extract)	uS/cm	UKAS	2043	2010	2021	2021	
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	%	UKAS	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS	5.0	4.4	5.8	6.1	
Total Nitrogen (Dumas)	%	UKAS	0.16	0.15	0.20	0.18	
C : N Ratio	ratio	UKAS	18:1	17:1	17:1	19:1	
Extractable Phosphorus	mg/l	UKAS	29	24	60	32	
Extractable Potassium	mg/l	UKAS	82	34	80	78	
Extractable Magnesium	ma/l	UKAS	34	27	41	63	

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{HCL} = \mathsf{HEAVY} \ \mathsf{CLAY} \ \mathsf{LOAM} \\ \mathsf{C} = \mathsf{CLAY} \end{array}$

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with



TIM O'HARE ASSOCIATES SOIL & LANDSCAPE CONSULTANCY

TH7
Topsoil 2
Country Park
Agriculture

48
38
14
С
7
5
10

7.7	
415	
2189	
0.3	

8.6	
0.37	
14:1	
71	
198	
51	

TH8
Topsoil 2
Country Park
Agriculture

52	
34	
14	
С	
13	
13	
17	

7.8
400
2198
0.2

7.4	
0.27	
16:1	
39	
110	
50	

TH9
Topsoil 2
Country Park
Agriculture

53
34
13
С
18
9
8

r
8.0
385
2154
0.3

Client:	Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited
Project:	Land at Luton Airport
Job:	Topsoil and Subsoil Analysis
Date:	May 2017
Job Ref No:	TOHA/17/3059/TW

Sample Reference				TH11		TH24	TH33	TH1		
Soil Type			7 F	Topsoil 2	1	Topsoil 3	Topsoil 3	Topsoil 4		
Development Area			1 [Country Park		Wigmore Park	Acess Corridor	Country Park		0
Current Land Use			7 F	Agriculture	1	Park	Park	Woodland		
		Accreditation			_					
Clay (<0.002mm)	%	UKAS] [41		27	22	25		
Silt (0.002-0.063mm)	%	UKAS		35		47	37	39		
Sand (0.063-2.00mm)	%	UKAS	1 [24		26	41	36		
Texture Class (UK Classification)		UKAS		С		MCL	MCL	MCL		
Stones (2-20mm)	% DW	GLP	1 [5		8	6	6		
Stones (20-50mm)	% DW	GLP	1 [9		6	15	18		
Stones (>50mm)	% DW	GLP		17		0	25	15		
					_					
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS] [7.7		6.7	6.7	4.2		
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS] [384		84	131	199		
Electrical Conductivity (1:2 CaSO ₄ extract)	uS/cm	UKAS] [2223		1950	1990	2026		
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	%	UKAS] [0.3		0.7	0.8	1.3		
					_	-				
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS] [5.7		7.0	4.3	12.5		
Total Nitrogen (Dumas)	%	UKAS] [0.18		0.31	0.15	0.38		
C : N Ratio	ratio	UKAS] [18:1		13	17	19:1		
Extractable Phosphorus	mg/l	UKAS] [20		17	19	57		
Extractable Potassium	mg/l	UKAS		103		129	113	94		
Extractable Magnesium	mg/l	UKAS] [34		82	74	88		

MCL = MEDIUM CLAY LOAM

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with



SOIL & LANDSCAPE CONSULTANCY

TH17
Topsoil 4
Country Park
Woodland
27
49
22
MCL
8
3
0
4.2
145
1935
1.9
6.5
0.23
16:1

46 69

78

<u>Appendix 4</u>
Laboratory Analysis Results
Subsoils

Client:	Capita Property and Infrastructure Limited
Project:	Land at Luton Airport
Job:	Topsoil and Subsoil Analysis
Date:	May 2017
Job Ref No:	TOHA/17/3059/TW

Sample Reference Soil Type Development Area			TH6	TH10	TH5	TH8
			Subsoil	Subsoil	Calc' Subsoil	Calc' Subsoil
			Country Park	Country Park	Country Park	Country Park
Land Use		Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	
		Accreditation				
Clay (<0.002mm)	%	UKAS	57	37	31	46
Silt (0.002-0.063mm)	%	UKAS	38	49	38	42
Sand (0.063-2.00mm)	%	UKAS	5	14	31	12
Texture Class (UK Classification)		UKAS	С	ZC	С	С
Stones (2-20mm)	% DW	GLP	13	10	2	8
Stones (20-50mm)	% DW	GLP	0	6	0	8
Stones (>50mm)	% DW	GLP	0	0	0	0
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS	7.5	7.4	8.2	7.8
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS	146	190	77	167
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS	6.0	3.3	4.1	4.6

ZCL = SILTY CLAY LOAM C = CLAY

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with



TH27
Subsoil
Wigmore Park
Park
36
49
15
С
2
1
0
7.8
173
4.4